Dear Mr. Landkamer, 8/9/85

I'm old, unwell, do not have enough time for my own work, and I cannot continue any correspondence that is based on idle theorizing about the JFK assassination. I hope you can understand this. It simply idn't reasonable or in practical terms possible for me now.

People of the right have their theories, and those of the left do. None has any relevance and all, in varying degrees, merely confuse the people, simultaneously steaming up, persuading and misleading partisans of like view.

For people who want to be reasonable, any theorizing must begin with a factual basis. Otherwise you are all weaving fairy tales.

I've yet to know of any theory has has a dependable factual basis, some tangible and meaningful relationship with what we know about what actually happened.

Too much of what I've seen in publications of the right plamed fiction off as fact, so I do not mean any of that kind of unfactual writing, no matter how attractive it may be to partisans.

Nothing of this sort exists wit regard to The Order, The Buckley Family,or Permindex. There simply is no connection, whatever anyone writes and others want to believe and do believe.

What I've seen from the LaRouche people, Spotlight and the other sources you mention is without any meaningful connection with the assassination. Those people merely invent connections you want to believe.

And the more of this that gets printed, the more confused everyone is, the more people are misled, the less possibility of any attention to anything that may have some significance, and the more the job of the FBI and CIA in their disinformation is done for them in whays they cannot do for themselves.

If as I am satisfied McDonald's is a work of clever fiction, suppose he does give you the alleged typescript of the soOcalled Kimsey statement, what will you have? More of his fiction. However, I think he is too clever to let anyone have a chance to shoot it down. And without interest in the matter now, now that he has done his evil and made his pot in so doing. It all rests on his word, which I regard as worth than valueless.

You, for example, do not know enough about the evidence that exists about the assassination to be in a position to engage in any responsible theorizing. You can get ideas that are attractive to you, and you may genuinely believe in them, but they will remain irrelevant to any critical examination and without the requisite factual connection with the assassination.

And you have to do an awful lot of reading of fact, not the theorizing that you refer to to the exclusion of all else.

You may not believe me but I'm trying to be helpful to you and to caution you so that you will not do the exact opposite of what you want to do.

I enclose a price list of my books.

Sincerely,